1	H.585
2	Introduced by Representatives Sims of Craftsbury, Anthony of Barre City,
3	Colston of Winooski, Leffler of Enosburgh, Sibilia of Dover,
4	and Vyhovsky of Essex
5	Referred to Committee on
6	Date:
7	Subject: Reapportionment; Legislative Apportionment Board; redistricting
8	Statement of purpose of bill as introduced: This bill proposes to require the
9	Legislative Apportionment Board to conduct a study and make
10	recommendations on Vermont's reapportionment process.
11	An act relating to reapportionment
12	It is hereby enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Vermont:
13	Sec. 1. FINDINGS
14	The General Assembly finds that:
15	(1) Pursuant to Chapter I, Article 7 and Chapter II, Sections 13, 18, and
16	73 of the Vermont Constitution, the Vermont General Assembly is periodically
17	reapportioned to reflect the State's changing demographic patterns. The
18	current apportionment process is guided by 17 V.S.A. §§ 1901–1909. The
19	Vermont Supreme Court has elaborated on constitutional and statutory district
20	criteria. See In re Reapportionment of Towns of Hartland, Windsor & W.

1	Windsor, 160 Vt. 9 (1993). Pursuant to 17 V.S.A. § 1906 and 17 V.S.A.
2	§ 1907, the Legislative Apportionment Board prepares and submits proposed
3	reapportionment plans for the House of Representatives and for the Senate to
4	the General Assembly and the General Assembly then may enact each
5	proposed reapportionment plan or substitute another plan for reapportionment.
6	Pursuant to 17 V.S.A. § 1904, the Office of the Secretary of State serves as
7	secretariat to the Legislative Apportionment Board.
8	(2) Since its creation in the 1960s, the Legislative Apportionment
9	Board's proposed apportionment plans appear to have never been enacted as
10	submitted. The Vermont House and Senate have always revised the proposals
11	before enacting final reapportionment plans.
11 12	before enacting final reapportionment plans. (3) The U.S. Supreme Court has ruled that "[a] plan with larger [than
12	(3) The U.S. Supreme Court has ruled that "[a] plan with larger [than
12 13	(3) The U.S. Supreme Court has ruled that "[a] plan with larger [than 10 percent] disparities in population, however, creates a prima facie case of
12 13 14	(3) The U.S. Supreme Court has ruled that "[a] plan with larger [than 10 percent] disparities in population, however, creates a prima facie case of discrimination and therefore must be justified by the State." <i>Brown v</i> .
12 13 14 15	(3) The U.S. Supreme Court has ruled that "[a] plan with larger [than 10 percent] disparities in population, however, creates a prima facie case of discrimination and therefore must be justified by the State." <i>Brown v.</i> Thomson, 462 U.S. 835, 842–43 (1983).
12 13 14 15 16	(3) The U.S. Supreme Court has ruled that "[a] plan with larger [than 10 percent] disparities in population, however, creates a prima facie case of discrimination and therefore must be justified by the State." <i>Brown v.</i> Thomson, 462 U.S. 835, 842–43 (1983). (4) According to the National Conference of State Legislatures,
12 13 14 15 16	(3) The U.S. Supreme Court has ruled that "[a] plan with larger [than 10 percent] disparities in population, however, creates a prima facie case of discrimination and therefore must be justified by the State." <i>Brown v.</i> Thomson, 462 U.S. 835, 842–43 (1983). (4) According to the National Conference of State Legislatures, following the 2010 redistricting process Vermont's House and Senate both

1	legislative districts further from the ideal district size with deviations of
2	21.57 percent in the House of Representatives and 44.22 percent in the Senate
3	Sec. 2. REAPPORTIONMENT; LEGISLATIVE APPORTIONMENT
4	BOARD; STUDY AND REPORT
5	(a) Reapportionment process study. The Legislative Apportionment Board
6	shall conduct a study to explore opportunities to make Vermont's redistricting
7	process less partisan and yield redistricting plans that better reflect the
8	principle of "one person – one vote." The Board shall consider the following:
9	(1) the current Vermont redistricting process and historical results as
10	compared to other states; and
11	(2) other states' 2021–2022 redistricting processes and results.
12	(b) Public engagement. The Board shall solicit input from a wide range of
13	stakeholders and hold not less than two public hearings.
14	(c) Assistance. The Board shall have the administrative, technical, and
15	legal assistance of the Secretary of State's office.
16	(d) Report. On or before January 15, 2023, the Board shall submit a
17	written report to House and Senate Committees on Government Operations
18	with its findings and recommendations for enacting a redistricting process,
19	prior to the 2030 Census, that would be less partisan and generate legislative
20	districts that better adhere to the principle of "one person – one vote."

1	(e) Meetings. The Chair of the Board shall call the first reapportionment
2	study meeting of the Legislative Apportionment Board to occur on or before
3	July 15, 2022.
4	(f) Compensation and reimbursement. Members of the Board shall be
5	entitled to per diem compensation and reimbursement of expenses as allowed
6	under 17 V.S.A. § 1904(d) for not more than 10 meetings. Payments
7	authorized under this subsection shall be made from monies appropriated to the
8	Secretary of State's office.
9	Sec. 3. EFFECTIVE DATE
10	This act shall take effect on passage.